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#### Abstract

The sequence of numbers generated by the cyclotomic polynomials $\Phi_{n}(2)$ contains the Mersenne numbers $2^{p}-1$ and the Fermat numbers $2^{2^{m}}+1$. Does an algorithm involving $O(n)$ modular operations exist to test the primality of $\Phi_{n}(b)$ ?


## 1. Cyclotomic polynomials

Let $n$ be a positive integer and let $\zeta_{n}$ be the complex number $e^{2 \pi i / n}$. The $n^{\text {th }}$ cyclotomic polynomial is, by definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{n}(x)=\prod_{\substack{1 \leq k<n \\ \operatorname{gcd}(k, n)=1}}\left(x-\zeta_{n}^{k}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly the degree of $\Phi_{n}(x)$ is $\varphi(n)$, where $\varphi$ is the Euler function.
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{n}-1=\prod_{d \mid n} \Phi_{d}(x) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and conversely, by using the Möbius function, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{n}(x)=\prod_{d \mid n}\left(x^{d}-1\right)^{\mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]$\Phi_{n}(x)$ is a monic polynomial with integer coefficients. It can be shown that $\Phi_{n}(x)$ is irreductible over $\mathbb{Q}$. The first sixteen of them are given below:
$\Phi_{1}(x)=x-1$
$$
\Phi_{2}(x)=x+1
$$
$$
\Phi_{3}(x)=x^{2}+x+1
$$
$$
\Phi_{4}(x)=x^{2}+1
$$
$$
\Phi_{5}(x)=x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1
$$
$$
\Phi_{6}(x)=x^{2}-x+1
$$
$\Phi_{7}(x)=x^{6}+x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1$
$$
\Phi_{8}(x)=x^{4}+1
$$
$\Phi_{9}(x)=x^{6}+x^{3}+1$ $\Phi_{10}(x)=x^{4}-x^{3}+x^{2}-x+1$
$\Phi_{11}(x)=x^{10}+x^{9}+x^{8}+\cdots+x+1$ $\Phi_{12}(x)=x^{4}-x^{2}+1$
$\Phi_{13}(x)=x^{12}+x^{11}+x^{10}+\cdots+x+1$
$\Phi_{14}(x)=x^{6}-x^{5}+x^{4}-x^{3}+x^{2}-x+1$
$\Phi_{15}(x)=x^{8}-x^{7}+x^{5}-x^{4}+x^{3}-x+1 \quad \Phi_{16}(x)=x^{8}+1$
Theorem 1.1. If $p$ is a prime then
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{p m}(x)=\Phi_{m}\left(x^{p}\right) \text { when } p \text { divides } m \\
& \Phi_{p m}(x)=\frac{\Phi_{m}\left(x^{p}\right)}{\Phi_{m}(x)} \text { when } p \text { does not divide } m .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{p m}(x) & =\prod_{\substack{d|p m \\
p| d}}\left(x^{d}-1\right)^{\mu\left(\frac{p m}{d}\right)} \prod_{\substack{d \mid p m \\
p \nmid d}}\left(x^{d}-1\right)^{\mu\left(\frac{p m}{d}\right)} \\
& =\Phi_{m}\left(x^{p}\right) \prod_{\substack{d \mid p m \\
p \nmid d}}\left(x^{d}-1\right)^{\mu\left(\frac{p m}{d}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $p \mid m$ then $\frac{p m}{d}=a p^{2}$ and $\mu\left(\frac{p m}{d}\right)=0$.
If $p \nmid m$ then $\mu\left(\frac{p m}{d}\right)=\mu(p) \mu\left(\frac{m}{d}\right)=-\mu\left(\frac{m}{d}\right)$.
It follows that if $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}$ are positive integers then

$$
\Phi_{n_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} n_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} \ldots n_{k}^{\alpha_{k}}}(x)=\Phi_{n_{1} \cdot n_{2} \ldots n_{k}}\left(x^{n_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-1} n_{2}^{\alpha_{2}-1} \ldots n_{k}^{\alpha_{k}-1}}\right)
$$

and if $p$ is prime and $r \geq 1$, then

$$
\Phi_{p^{r}}(x)=\frac{x^{p^{r}}-1}{x^{p^{r-1}}-1} .
$$

Theorem 1.2. If $q>1$ is an odd integer then

$$
\Phi_{2 q}(x)=\Phi_{q}(-x)
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{2 q}(x) & =\prod_{d \mid 2 q}\left(x^{d}-1\right)^{\mu\left(\frac{2 q}{d}\right)}=\prod_{d \mid q}\left(x^{d}-1\right)^{\mu\left(\frac{2 q}{d}\right)}\left(x^{2 d}-1\right)^{\mu\left(\frac{2 q}{2 d}\right)} \\
& =\prod_{d \mid q}\left(x^{d}+1\right)^{\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)}=\prod_{d \mid q}-\left((-x)^{d}-1\right)^{\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $q \neq 1$ is odd then $\varphi(q)$ is even.
Theorem 1.3. If $n>1$ then $\Phi_{n}(0)=1$.

Proof. By induction with $x^{n}-1=\Phi_{n}(x)(x-1) \prod_{\substack{d \neq n \\ d \neq 1, n}} \Phi_{d}(x)$ and $x=0$.
Theorem 1.4. If $n>1$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{n}(1)=p \text { when } n \text { is a power of a prime } p \\
& \Phi_{n}(1)=1 \text { otherwise. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. If $n$ is not a prime power, let $n=p^{r} m$ where $p$ is prime and such that $(p, m)=1 . \Phi_{p^{r} m}(1)=\Phi_{p m}\left(1^{r-1}\right)=\frac{\Phi_{m}\left(1^{p}\right)}{\Phi_{m}(1)}$ and the result follows by induction because $\Phi_{m}(1) \neq 0$.

## 2. FACTORS OF $\Phi_{n}(b)$

Theorem 2.1. Let $n=p^{m}$ with $p$ prime. If $p \mid(b-1)$ then $p \mid \Phi_{n}(b)$. All other prime factors of $\Phi_{n}(b)$ are of the form $k n+1$.
Proof. See [8, Theorem 48].
The other forms can have some small factors:
$\Phi_{18}(2)=2^{6}-2^{3}+1=57=3 \times 19$
$\Phi_{20}(2)=2^{8}-2^{6}+2^{4}-2^{2}+1=205=5 \times 41$
$\Phi_{21}(2)=2^{12}-2^{11}+2^{9}-2^{8}+2^{6}-2^{4}+2^{3}-2+1=2359=7 \times 337$
then Theorem 2.1 cannot be extended to any $n$.
Theorem 2.2. Every prime factor of $b^{n}-1$ must either be of the form $k n+1$ or be a divisor of $b^{d}-1$, where $d<n$ and $d \mid n$.

Proof. See [9, Theorem 2.4.3].
Since $\Phi_{n}(b) \mid\left(b^{n}-1\right)$, conditions of Theorem 2.2 are true for any factor of a cyclotomic polynomial, but we have a better result:
Theorem 2.3. If $p$ is a prime factor of $\Phi_{n}(b)$ and is a divisor of $b^{d}-1$, where $d<n$, then $p^{2} \mid\left(b^{n}-1\right)$ and $p \mid n$.
Proof. [6] Let $r>0$ such that $p^{r} \mid\left(b^{n}-1\right)$ but $p^{r+1} \nmid\left(b^{n}-1\right)$. If $p^{r} \mid\left(b^{d}-1\right)$ then $p \nmid \frac{b^{n}-1}{b^{d}-1}$. But by Eq.1.2, $p\left|\Phi_{n}(b)\right| \frac{b^{n}-1}{b^{d}-1}$, a contradiction.

Let $e_{r}$ the order of $b$ modulo $p^{r}$. If $p^{r} \mid\left(b^{m}-1\right)$ then $e_{r} \mid m$. Since $p^{r} \mid\left(b^{e_{r+1}}-1\right)$, we have $e_{r+1}=k e_{r}$. Let $b^{e_{r}}=1+\alpha p^{r}$, then by the binomial theorem $b^{k e_{r}} \equiv 1+\alpha k p^{r}$ $\left(\bmod p^{r+1}\right)$. If $p \mid \alpha, e_{r+1}=e_{r}$, else $p \mid k$. Therefore either $e_{r}=e_{1}=n$ (in which case $n \mid(p-1))$ or $p \mid n$.

Thus we have:
Theorem 2.4. Every prime factor of $\Phi_{n}(b)$ must either be of the form $k n+1$ or be a divisor of $n$ and of $b^{d}-1$, where $d \mid n$.

According to [7, Page 268], this result was proved by Legendre in 1830.

## 3. Primality test of $\Phi_{n}(b)$ by factoring $\Phi_{n}(b)-1$

From Theorem 1.3 we have $\Phi_{n}(x)-1=x^{r} P(x)$ where $r \geq 1$. If $r>\operatorname{deg}(P) / 2$ and if the complete factorization of $b$ is known then the primality of $\Phi_{n}(b)$ can be proved with theorems of [2].
Theorem 3.1. [3] If $n=2^{\alpha} 3^{\beta}$ then a theorem of Pocklington [2, Th 4][7, p. 52] is sufficient to test the primality of $\Phi_{n}(b)$ when $b$ is factorized.

Proof. If $\beta=0$ then $\Phi_{n}(b)-1=b^{2^{\alpha}}$. If $\alpha=0$ then $\Phi_{n}(b)-1=b^{3^{\beta-1}}\left(b^{3^{\beta-1}}+1\right)$. Else $\Phi_{n}(b)=\Phi_{6}\left(b^{2^{\alpha-1}+3^{\beta-1}}\right)$ and $\Phi_{n}(b)-1=b^{2^{\alpha-1}+3^{\beta-1}}\left(b^{2^{\alpha-1}+3^{\beta-1}}-1\right)$.

No other case of polynomial factorization by $x^{r}$ large enough is known:
Conjecture 3.2. [3] If $\Phi_{n}(x)-1=x^{r} P(x)$ and $n \neq 2^{\alpha} 3^{\beta}$ then $r<\operatorname{deg}(P) / 2$.
Note that if $n$ has many divisors, $\Phi_{n}(b)-1$ has often enough polynomial factors to complete the primality proof for some small $b$. See [4] for criteria of divisibility of $\Phi_{n}(x)-1$ by $\Phi_{k}(x)$.

Note also the generalization of the well-known results about Fermat and Mersenne numbers $2^{F_{m}-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod F_{m}\right)$ and $2^{M_{p}-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod M_{p}\right)$ :
Theorem 3.3. If $\Phi_{n}(b)$ has no prime factor $p \leq n$ then $b^{\Phi_{n}(b)-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod \Phi_{n}(b)\right)$.
Proof. By Eq.1.2, $b^{\Phi_{n}(b)-1}-1=\prod_{d \mid\left(\Phi_{n}(b)-1\right)} \Phi_{d}(b)$. By Theorem 2.4, if $\Phi_{n}(b)$ has no prime factor $p \leq n$ then $\Phi_{n}(b)=k n+1$. Therefore $\Phi_{n}(b)$ divides $b^{\Phi_{n}(b)-1}-1$.

## 4. Primes of the form $\Phi_{n}(2)$

If $n=2^{m}$ then $\Phi_{2^{m}}(2)=2^{2^{m-1}}+1=F_{m-1}$ (Fermat number). If $p$ is prime then $\Phi_{p}(2)=2^{p}-1=M_{p}$ (Mersenne number). If $p \neq 2$ then $\Phi_{2 p}(2)=\Phi_{p}(-2)=$ $\left(2^{p}+1\right) / 3$.

The first probable primes of the form $\Phi_{n}(2)$ were computed by the author. The primality of these numbers was proved for $n \leq 3000$ by the author with the implementation of Adleman-Pomerance-Rumely-Cohen-Lenstra's test of the UBASIC package [5] and for $3000<n \leq 6500$ by Phil Carmody with Titanix [1] (see Table 1 and Table 2).

Fermat and Mersenne primes are two sparse subclasses of the dense class of the primes of the form $\Phi_{n}(2)$. But how to prove the primality of $\Phi_{n}(2)$ with only $O(n)$ operations modulo $\Phi_{n}(2)$ when $n$ is not a prime or a power of 2 ?

TABLE 1. Values of $n$ for which $\Phi_{n}(2)$ is prime, for $1 \leq n \leq 6500$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,12,13,14,15,16,17,19,22,24,26,27,30,31,32, \\
& 33,34,38,40,42,46,49,56,61,62,65,69,77,78,80,85,86,89,90,93, \\
& 98,107,120,122,126,127,129,133,145,150,158,165,170,174,184,192 \text {, } \\
& 195,202,208,234,254,261,280,296,312,322,334,345,366,374,382 \text {, } \\
& 398,410,414,425,447,471,507,521,550,567,579,590,600,607,626, \\
& 690,694,712,745,795,816,897,909,954,990,1106,1192,1224,1230 \text {, } \\
& 1279,1384,1386,1402,1464,1512,1554,1562,1600,1670,1683,1727, \\
& 1781,1834,1904,1990,1992,2008,2037,2203,2281,2298,2353,2406, \\
& 2456,2499,2536,2838,3006,3074,3217,3415,3418,3481,3766,3817, \\
& 3927,4167,4253,4423,4480,5053,5064,5217,5234,5238,5250,5325, \\
& 5382,5403,5421,6120 .
\end{aligned}
$$
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